

REMARKS

This application has been carefully reviewed in light of the final Office Action dated December 18, 2006. Claims 67 to 72 are pending in the application, with Claims 39, 46 to 55, 58, 59, 65 and 66 having been cancelled, and Claims 67 to 72 having been newly added. Claims 67 and 72 are in independent form. Reconsideration and further examination are respectfully requested.

Claims 39, 47 to 55 and 58 were objected to based on alleged informalities. Claims 39, 47 to 55 and 58 have been cancelled without prejudice or disclaimer or subject matter, and without conceding the correctness of this objection. Thus, the objection is seen to be obviated.

Claims 39, 46 to 50, 52 to 55, 65 and 66 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) over U.S. Patent No. 5,550,576 (Klosterman); Claim 51 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) over Klosterman in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,686,954 (Yoshinobu); and Claims 58 and 59 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) over Klosterman in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,801,787 (Schein). Claims 39, 46 to 55, 58, 59, 65 and 66 have been cancelled without prejudice or disclaimer of the subject matter and without conceding the correctness of their rejections. Thus, the rejections are seen to be obviated.

Newly-added independent Claim 67 is directed to a reception apparatus. The apparatus includes a reception unit for receiving a television signal, and an input unit for entering a plurality of program information data from a plurality of input sources, relating to the television signal. The apparatus also includes an integration unit for producing a plurality of integrated program information data, wherein the plurality of integrated program information data comprises program information data from the plurality

of program information data, according to plural sets of input sources specified by a user from among the plurality of input sources. In addition, the apparatus includes an EPG generating unit for producing plural sets of integrated EPG display data based on the plurality of integrated program information data.

Newly-added independent Claim 72 is directed to a method which is seen to generally correspond with Claim 67.

Thus, among its many features, the present invention provides that a user specifies plural sets of input sources for integrated program information data.

The art applied against the cancelled claims is not seen to disclose or suggest at least this feature. In particular, column 6, lines 20 to 23 of Klosterman is seen to disclose that after a coordinator 20 has collected and sorted all available program information, coordinator 20 generates a grid guide for display on a television. However, Klosterman is not seen to disclose or suggest that a user specifies plural sets of input sources for integrated program information data.

In addition, Yoshinobu and Schein have been reviewed and are not seen to compensate for the deficiencies of Klosterman.

Accordingly, based on the foregoing amendments and remarks, newly-added independent Claims 67 and 72 are believed to be allowable over the art applied against the cancelled claims.

The other claims in the application are each dependent from the independent claims and are believed to be allowable over the art applied against the cancelled claims for at least the same reasons. Because each dependent claim is deemed to define an additional

aspect of the invention, however, the individual consideration of each on its own merits is respectfully requested.

No other matters being raised, it is believed that the entire application is fully in condition for allowance, and such action is courteously solicited.

Applicants' undersigned attorney may be reached in our Costa Mesa, California office at (714) 540-8700. All correspondence should continue to be directed to our below-listed address.

Respectfully submitted,


John D. Magluyan
Attorney for Applicants
Registration No.: 56,867

FITZPATRICK, CELLA, HARPER & SCINTO
30 Rockefeller Plaza
New York, New York 10112-3800
Facsimile: (212) 218-2200

CA_MAIN 127817v1